A Continued Meeting of the Westmoreland County Board of Supervisors was held Monday, July 22, 2024, in the public meeting room of the George D. English, Sr. Memorial Building, located at 111 Polk Street, Montross, Virginia. Those members present were Darryl Fisher, Jeffrey McCormack, Matthew Ingram, W.W. Hynson and Timothy J. Trivett. Also present was, Richard Stuart, County Attorney, and Donna Cogswell, Interim County Administrator.

1. RECONVENE

Chairman Fisher reconvened the July 8th continued meeting of the Westmoreland County Board of Supervisors at 5:37 p.m.

2. CONSENT AGENDA

a. Approval of/Amendment to Board Agenda.

Chairman Fisher stated that everyone should have received and reviewed the agenda and asked if there are any amendments or comments to the agenda.

Mr. McCormack asked the Chairman Fisher if the Pledge of Allegiance would be recited before the agenda was approved. The Chairman stated the Pledge of Allegiance from the last meeting still applies to this meeting because it is a continuation of the previous meeting. The Board moved forward with looking over the agenda.

Mr. McCormack asked to amend the agenda by adding Glebe Harbor-Cabin Point Club House Roof Repair under Action Item 4 and before the Land Use cases. This would move the Land Use to 4C and the proposed added item to 4B of the agenda. Upon motion by Mr. McCormack, second Mr. Trivett, and with no further discussion and carried unanimously, the Board approved the amendment to the agenda.

Mr. McCormack again asked to amend the agenda to add Tiana Way under Action Item 4A, to be considered for a planning through the Northern Neck Planning District Commission for roads on Cabinford Road and Marion Way. Upon motion by Mr. McCormack, second Mr. Ingram, and with no further discussion and carried unanimously, the Board approved the amendment to the agenda.

3. DISCUSSION ITEMS

a. Administration: Montross Transfer Station Information on Changes.

Interim County Administrator, Donna Cogswell, provided an update on the

Transfer Station. She explained that a statement of clarification was posted on
the County web page and Facbook page due to some incomplete information
circulating. Ms. Cogswell gave a back story on what happened in the past, what
is currently happing and what will happen beginning August 15. She also noted
that there is a misconception of what is a transfer station vs a landfill; the County
does not have a landfill, we currently have a transfer station. Counties like King
George and King & Queen have landfills which is why they do not have
transportation fees. With the new process in place the County will reduce the
costs of running the transfer station which will save the County and citizens
money.

NEXT PAGE - STATEMENT

Statement to clarify information regarding changes to the Montross Transfer Station;

It has come to our attention that some information is circulating in the community regarding changes at the Montross Transfer Station. Therefore, we would like to provide additional information about these changes. We would also like to emphasize the current practices taking place at the Transfer Station have cost the County tax payers approximately \$3 Million per year over the last 2-3 years, which is equivalent to .08 of our current .68 tax rate.

OLD PROCESS

All commercial trash haulers (anyone that collects money from citizens to transport trash) should have submitted an application to the County and paid \$250.00 annually, per truck, for a Transfer Station Permit which allowed that hauler to dump trash at the Montross Transfer Station. All commercial trash haulers would go over scales and tonnage should have been charged to their individual trash accounts.

WHAT IS CURRENTLY HAPPENING:

Commercial trash haulers (anyone that collects money from citizens to transport trash) pay \$250.00, per truck, for their Transfer Station Permit, which allows them to dump at the Transfer Station. These haulers go over the scales and the tonnage is charged to the County account and the trash haulers pay zero to dispose of the trash collected (the County pays the bill). Both the County and Republic rates currently are \$63.09 per ton (disposal \$39.76; transportation rate \$23.30). Again, this has cost the tax payers approximately \$3 Million per year over the last 2-3 years.

NEW PROCESS:

All commercial trash haulers (anyone that collects money from citizens to transport trash) will prepare and submit an application to the County and pay \$250.00 annually, per truck, for a Transfer Station Permit. This will allow that hauler to dump trash at the Montross Transfer Station. The County account will be secured to only include contracts with the County. All commercial trash haulers will complete an application with Republic and set up a 3rd party account with Republic. The haulers will go over scales and the tonnage will be charged to their 3rd party account. Republic's rate, beginning August 15th, will be \$77.50 per ton (which will include disposal and transportation) and in November the rate will increase to \$80.00 per ton.

Please contact Westmoreland County Administrative Offices to answer any additional questions.

After Ms. Cogswell's presentation, Chairman Fisher stated that he would like to have a discussion and decision due to the Supervisor's receiving several phone calls about this matter. Mr. Hynson stated that the tonnage needs to be isolated, and there should be an invoice on every load that comes through. If we know how

many people each commercial hauler is charging, we should know how much tonnage it is supposed to be. It would be fair if we could identify every ton. Hr. Hynson stated that he does not think having each commercial hauler have a sheet would be unreasonable. They would write down every stop, with what street, subdivision, etc., so that we know where the trash is coming from. Mr. Trivett stated that this matter will be discussed in the executive session later tonight, and he is hesitant about how much should be said to the public until that session occurs, which will include an analysis that has been done these past couple of months of tonnage and a recommendation based on the information that will be provided. Chairman Fisher stated that the purpose for having some degree of discussion is because the public needs to know that he has received feedback and is well aware that we are going to take up debate on this subject. There may be some adjustments when we come out of the closed session based on what is discussed and how the Supervisor's decide to proceed moving forward.

4. ACTION ITEMS

a. Consideration for Grant, Requested through the Northern Neck Planning District
Commission, for Roads on Cabinford Road, Marion Way, and Tiana Way.

Chairman Fisher turned this topic over to Mr. McCormack. Mr. McCormack
stated that he spoke with Jerry Davis at the Northern Neck Planning District
Commission meeting last week regarding his thoughts on grant funding for this
potential project. He recommended that the Board approve a planning grant
submitted through the Northern Neck Planning District Commission. If awarded,
the County could receive up to \$50,000 and follow up with a Community
Development Block Grant after the plans are completed. Mr. McCormack
wanted to make the public aware that this process will take some time, and if
the Board approves, he will stay on top of this as we move forward. The planning
grant does not just provide a planner to look at the roads, but it also looks at the

houses in the community as well, and it assists with the physical upkeep of the homes in the neighborhood.

Chairman Fisher stated if there were no comments or questions from the Board, he asked for a motion. With no further discussion, upon motion by Mr. McCormack, second Mr. Ingram and carried unanimously with Mr. Hynson, Mr. McCormack, Mr. Trivett, Mr. Ingram and the Chairman vote "aye". The Board authorizes Northern Neck Planning District, Jerry Davis, to proceed with the application for a planning grant to be used to repair Cabinford Way, Marion Way, and Tiana Way.

Chairman Fisher stated that this has been and will be a long process for the folks who live on Cabinford Road, but at least there is a proposed solution that the Board will enter into and proceed with. Chairman Fisher noted that this is a similar grant that was used on the Jerusalem church road project. When the community block grant was done, houses were rehabilitated, the drainage easements were approved and put in through that whole area, and the Chairman assumes this project would be a similar type grant if awarded. Mr. McCormack answered and stated that was correct. Chairman Fisher then thanked Mr. McCormack for his enthusiasm for the project because they tried to find a solution for that road for 25 years.

b. <u>Glebe Harbor-Cabin Point Sanitary District Club House</u> Roof. Mr. McCormack stated that he and Ms. Cogswell received an email from Fred Selby, President, Glebe Harbor-Cabin Point Board requesting the Board of Supervisors approve \$19,199.16 for the roof repair of the Glebe Harbor-Cabin Point Sanitary District Club House and this will come from the Glebe Harbor-Cabin Point account. Mr. Selby had recently come before the Board at the May 13th meeting regarding the

insurance claim and settlement they had received. Mr. Selby then spoke with Mr. Stuart regarding how much was received and if they could use that money for this expenditure. Mr. Stuart agreed they could use the \$30,000.84 they received from the insurance company, which leaves a balance of \$19,199.16 for repair of the roof. It was Mr. McCormack's understanding that after reading the email chain that he received, Mr. Risavi had been the one to approve these requests in the past. However, since the Board gave those boundaries to Ms. Cogswell, Mr. McCormack felt it would be appropriate for the Board to approve the funds from the Sanitary District account.

Chairman Fisher asked if there were any questions or comments from the Board, if not, he would like to for a motion.

Mr. Stuart asked Mr. McCormack if that was the entire cost of the roof or if it was an addition to the insurance check. Mr. McCormack stated that the whole cost of the roof was \$49,500.00 and the insurance check was \$30,000.84. They are requesting the remainder of the balance come from the Glebe Harbor-Cabin Point account. Mr. Stuart stated that the question was that they were proposing a deal to the County where they would kick in the check, and the County would kick in the remainder. The money they received from the insurance company should have gone into the Glebe Harbor- Cabin Point Sanitary District fund anyway; they pay the insurance and maintain that policy because they own the property but the Sanitary District reimburses them for that. Mr. Stuart concluded, as long as Mr. McCormack's motion is clear that they are using that balance with the additional money for the roof, the motion is acceptable.

With no further discussion, upon motion by Mr. McCormack, second Mr. Ingram and carried unanimously with Mr. Trivett, Mr. Ingram, Mr. McCormack, Mr.

Hynson, and the Chairman vote "aye". The Board authorizes \$19,199.16 from the Glebe Harbor-Cabin Point Sanitary District fund, in additional to the insurance check in the amount of \$30,000.84 to be used for the repair of the Glebe Harbor-Cabin Point Club House roof.

c. Land USE (Beth McDowell and Kelly DeJesus)

Chairman Fisher stated that these items were continued from the last meeting for further information and action if the Board desired. The public hearings have already been held and closed, so the information presented tonight will be considered by the Board, and the Board will take action either to approve, deny, or table.

 Consideration for Road Intersection Improvement Project Application -Southern Intersection of Longfield Road (Rte. 631) and James Monroe Highway (Rte. 205).

Ms. McDowell stated that Land Use is seeking a Resolution of Support by the Board for the application to VDOT. Ms. McDowell briefly updated some new information since the last meeting. She showed a sketch from VDOT of the area and noted that they are showing stormwater management and the potential to put a stormwater management basin in. In an email, Mr. Beale had been eluted that VDOT potentially purchased credits instead of making on-site improvements for stormwater management, but it does have to be shown on the initial sketch. Ms. McDowell noted that she did include comments from citizens in the packet that Land Use provided to the Board, and some citizens would like to address the Board themselves in public comment.

Chairman Fisher_brought the discussion to the Board and asked if there were any objections to opening an additional public comment period for this topic. The Board agreed and the Chairman opened the floor for public comment. He asked if each individual could state their name for the record and then comment on this topic only.

Joan Dickenson Drummond: She spoke at the last meeting at the public hearing regarding this matter. Her land is on the corner, coming from Oak Grove to Colonial Beach. She isn't too bothered about the land they need up at the curve turning into Longfield Road but just the distance from the house to the road. She had met with Mr. Beale and hashed out everything. She is concerned that the proposed turning lane on her side of the road will cause more accidents and will cause more cars to end up in her yard. Ms. Drummond stated that there needed to be a stoplight there.

Richard Wilkins: He stated that something needs to be done there with all of the traffic on the road, and it is getting worse. He would hate anyone to lose property, especially when it is for someone else use; however, when Longfield Road was modified years ago, people lost property, but it was for the greater good, and he believes this project is the same scenario. He stated that it might be best to buy the whole property and do what they want with it and make it as big as it needs to be because years from now, traffic is only going to get worse if the Board doesn't approve it now, it can't be put in again for another two years and five years before it is even finished.

Chairman Fisher stated to let the record show that no one else came before the Board and therefore public comment period is closed. Chairman Fisher came back to the Board and asked if there were any additional comments or questions. Mr. Hynson stated that he appreciates what Ms. Drummond said but needs to know Mr. Beales's history of that intersection. Mr. Hynson noted that putting up a turning lane will not help all of the traffic. He would like Mr. Beale to tell the Board the numbers. It is the County's heaviest-traveled road and much of the land is starting to change hands on that road and people will begin to develop and build on the land. The traffic now is just the beginning and the Board needs to think 10-15 years down the line. Mr. Hyson concluded his stated that more needs to be done to it than what is proposed and asked for Mr. Beales' input.

David Beale stated that he does not have the traffic volumes with him tonight but does agree with Mr. Hynson that a lot of traffic goes through that road. Mr. Beale met with several residents and if you stand in their yard, you can watch them run that stop sign. VODT did add reflective strips to the stop sign on Longfield Road last week to make it more visible. Mr. Beale does not think visibility is the issue. He stated that you have to be willing to make a complete stop. In order for a stop light to be added to that intersection, specific criteria regarding crash history and volume need to be met. There are eight different specifications to be met on a primary road. The problem is a stoplight creates a bottleneck. The first step into wanting to put up a stop light is to put a turning in. Mr. Beale concluded his comments stating that VDOT has done an analysis and does not anticipate a stop light is warranted there.

Mr. Hynson stated that he sees people run that stop sign about once a week, and people won't stop. Mr. Trivett asked Mr. Beale if he could work anything out with the Halls from the previous meeting. Mr. Beale stated that most of

the widening is on the Halls side of the road. When Mr. Beale met with some property owners, he said they had reached the same consensus. No one wanted to give up their land, but they knew it was an ongoing issue. Mr. Hynson asked Mr. Beale if the landowners agreed that they could live with the needed footage. Mr. Beale stated after discussions he believed that they all could live with it.

Heidi Hopkins: She lives on 1734 James Monroe Highway, which joins the Hall property. She did not receive any correspondence about the meeting on July 8th and did not receive notice of today's meeting until the 19th. They have not been contacted to talk about their property. She lost property when the road was straightened 30 years ago and is facing losing more property. Based on the measurements sent, the corner of her property will be five feet away from the side of the hill, undermining the integrity of her foundation. She is frustrated that no one has reached out to her before, and she only received one day's notice of today's meeting. She is in the dark about everything that is happening.

Chairman Fisher brought the discussion back to the Board to make a decision. Mr. Ingram stated that he has an issue when all parties are not contacted and briefed regarding their land; these are tax-paying citizens of the county, and they own that land. It is well known that there is an issue with the road. Mr. Ingram stated that Mr. Hynson made a good point when talking about what will come of the road in years to come; it will probably need to be widened down the road to each end. Mr. Ingram understands Ms. Hopkins's situation and knows that communication can break down and should not happen. Mr. Hynson stated that he was glad Ms. Hopkins could come tonight and say what needed to be said.

Mr. Hynson stated that the Board should approve the Resolution to move forward with this project but if too much property has to be removed from a property owner will the Board be able to withdraw the application. Mr. Beale stated that there is a risk because once the state spends money on the County's behalf, the County is then liable to reimburse them. Mr. Hynson asked Mr. Beale if any footage calculation was taken to the property owner. Mr. Beale stated that they discussed how the evaluation might come about, but no specific dollar figure was given. Mr. Beale noted that the orange line on the drawing is offset from the road and is about 15 feet from the red line. The red line is shown as a proposed Right of Way, and the orange line is a temporary construction easement, which is way more impactful than the right-of-way line. Mr. Beale started to think of a temporary construction easement as land that is being rented from someone; it is land that the contractor would need to build the project, and once the project is over, that easement is extinguished, and the land goes back to the landowner. Mr. McCormack asked Mr. Beale if the orange line he was speaking of was the dotted line on the sketch because the line goes through a house. Mr. Beale showed Mr. McCormack and stated they would not take a temporary construction easement through someone's home, they work around it.

Chairman Fisher asked if there was any further discussion, if not, he asked for a motion to approve this project. With no further discussion, a motion was made by Mr. Hyson.... but there was no second to the motion and therefore the project did not pass.

ii. Consideration for Special Exception for a Solar Facility located on

Brodfield Road & Pomona Road Colonial Beach, VA. Case #2406-SE-04:

Ralph & Virginia Reed PO Box 10 Colonial Beach VA 22443 & Colonial

Beach VA Solar LLC.

Chairman Fisher stated that there were some questions regarding this project about documentation presented in the last meeting that the Board and the County Attorney were not clear on and the Chairman believes Mr. Stuart has received the information.

Mr. Stuart stated that he had met with the Applicant, spoke with their attorney, and received a document known as a Voluntary Payment Agreement. They are offering payments to the County throughout the course of the project. They also put a Decommissioning Bond in the document, which some Supervisors were concerned about in the last meeting. There are three (3) payments of over \$40,000.00 and it is a voluntary payment. Mr. Stuart stated the Agreement is okay but there are a few things that he would like to clean up and he has discussed them with the Applicant and they are Agreement.

Mr. Stuart asked the Board if they were to agree to this tonight, he would ask that it would include the 15 conditions from the Planning Commission and that it be in a form acceptable to the County Attorney, which will allow Mr. Stuart to amend some things and will send it to the Board before final approval. Mr. Stuart also noted that there is no reference on the report for what the Planning Commission recommended and it would be important that be stated on the record.

Kelly DeJesus stated that she would amend the staff report to show what the Planning Commission recommends. She then gave a refresher on the project from the last meeting. It is a solar panel project, approximately 104 acres, and the Planning Commission voted unanimously to pass the project as presented. Mr. Stuart asked Ms. DeJesus if the unanimous recommendation of approval included 15 conditions attached to the report. Ms. DeJesus stated that was correct.

Chairman Fisher stated that the concerns the Board had from the last meeting have been addressed and asked if there were any additional questions or comments, if not, asked for a motion. With no further discussion, upon motion by Mr. McCormack, second Mr. Trivett, with Mr. Trivet- aye, Mr. Ingram- aye, Mr. McCormack- aye, Mr. Hynson-nay and the Chairman – aye. The Board approves the Special Exception for a Solar Facility located on Brodfield Road & Pomona Road Colonial Beach, VA. Case #2406-SE-04 to include the following stipulations, that it will include the 15 conditions recommended by the Planning Commission and that it would be in a form acceptable by the County Attorney by a vote of 4-1.

Chairman Fisher noted to Land Use that when the Planning Commission reviews these cases before they come to the Board, they should be sure to add their approval, disapproval, and recommendations to the official record. The Board has always relied on the Planning Commission to do most of the leg work for these cases, and it is part of their role to guide the Board and give their recommendation. Ms. DeJesus stated that she will modify the staff reports to ensure the Planning Commission recommendation will be added going forward.

iii. <u>Abandonment of County Owned Right-Of-Way on Mary Washington</u>
Avenue Adjacent to 3510 Skipjack Road.

Chairman Fisher stated that the Board had acted to abandon the right of way and asked Ms. DeJesus if she was going to give a plan of action on how to proceed. Ms. DeJesus stated that at this time Land Use is looking for direction from the Board on how to proceed. According to the state code, within four (4) months of approving abandonment, the Board must memorialize the decision by a resolution or ordinance change. Land Use has come to a mutual agreement that an ordinance change would be easier to track in the years to come. Ms. DeJesus asked the Board if they would like her to do the ordinance change and if she should draft more plans and organize a town hall meeting like the one in Kinsale. She stated that Land Use recommended a September date to memorialize the decision from the July 8th meeting, with the deadline of November 8th. Ms. DeJesus stated she would need direction from the Board on how they would like to proceed and what date they would like to memorialize. She had also asked the Board if they would also like her to make any future plans, hold town hall meetings, or if they wanted to leave it in its state for the time being.

Chairman Fisher asked Ms. DeJesus to confirm that the Board did abandon the right of way. Ms. DeJesus stated that was correct. Ms. DeJesus noted that it is currently without use, and the ownership did not change when the right of way was abandoned. The Chairman then asked Ms. DeJesus the definition of memorialize. Ms. DeJesus stated that it has

to be made legal via resolution or ordinance change, no later than November 8th, or the abandonment becomes null and void, and the Board must start over again. Chairman Fisher asked Mr. Stuart for guidance.

Mr. Stuart stated that he would like to recommend that he and Ms. DeJesus meet on this matter and ensure that the Board has satisfied everything requested by the petitioner. Mr. Stuart believes that Ms. DeJesus is correct about the ordinance but if the Board were to do an ordinance, the process would begin with the Planning Commission and they would hold a public hearing to adopt that ordinance. He does not want the Board to do something they do not have to. Mr. Stuart stated that he thought the Board had already satisfied everything because it was brought by a land owner by petition upon the Board. He noted that he would like to go back and look at the statute again. Ms. DeJesus asked the Board if they wanted to give the Land Use office direction on proceeding. Chairman Fisher said that if they were to go with a resolution or an ordinance change, it would be just a technicality, and they are at a point where they need to come to some understanding of how to proceed. Chairman Fisher noted that since it is not a right of way, it needs to be blocked off until they know what they will do with it, but they still would like to involve the public to get feedback. Chairman Fisher then turned to the Board for their comments. Mr. Hynson stated that the property is only 43 feet wide. Ms. DeJesus answered that the entire strip is 43 feet, and if you are talking about the elevated road, it is 11 feet wide at its summit. Mr. Hynson stated he feels it is best to try and stop cars from coming in, maybe limit the width, put up signs where it begins and ends, and make it just big enough for people to walk through. Ms.

DeJesus noted that parking stalls and drive isles are very prescriptive. They couldn't get more than one parking space in that area due to insufficient room for emergency issues and being able to back out. The drive aisle is one way and is 12 feet, typically 24 feet in two ways. It will cause a bottleneck due to people not having enough room and may cause liability issues in the future. Ms. DeJesus stated she would look into signs to put out there to let people know where the ownership begins and ends so that people aren't trespassing. The Chairman stated that we need to solve the first issue, which is to block it off for the driven traffic but still give citizens foot traffic because they cannot operate in that area in a small space. After that is controlled, the Board can figure out how to make the property serviceable and safe for access to the Potomac River, which will take a lot of thought and brainstorming on everyone's part. Chairman Fisher also noted that it is his understanding that in the last meeting, there is a possibility of acquiring additional land for parking from a landowner. It will ease citizens minds if they know they can still access it by foot. Mr. Trivett stated that the Chairman made an excellent point that it would be easy to block it entirely, which happens a lot. Mr. Trivett supports blocking the property off to vehicles but still allow foot traffic. The Chairman asked Ms. DeJesus if that was the information she was looking for on how to proceed in the short term. Ms. DeJesus asked if the Board would like to see what course of action Land Use will take before implementation. Chairman Fisher answered yes. Let the record show that Ms. DeJesus and Mr. Stuart will meet on this topic on July 23rd and get all the information needed for next steps.

5. CLOSED SESSION. Closed session for discussion, consideration, or interviews of prospective candidates for employment; assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining, or resignation of specific public officers, appointees, or employees of any public body. Discussion of the new position within Emergency Services and discussion of hiring of new County Administrator pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(1); and Consultation with legal counsel and briefings by staff members or pertaining to probable litigation, where such consultation or briefing in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body; and consultation with legal counsel employed or retained by a public body regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel pursuant to Virginia Code 2.2-3711(A)(7).

Chairman Fisher stated the purpose of the closed session, as noted above, according to VA Code Sec. 2.2-3711(A)(1) and VA Code Sec. 2.2-3711(A)(7). With no further discussion, upon motion by Mr. McCormack, second Mr. Ingram and carried unanimously, the Board will now move into closed session in the conference room. Chairman Fisher requested the County Attorney, Interim County Administrator and Chief of Emergency Services join the Supervisors in closed session.

RECORDING WAS STOPPED AND THE MEETING MOVED TO CLOSED SESSION

Chairman Fisher asked for a motion to return to regular session from closed session.

Upon motion by Mr. Ingram, second Mr. McCormack. With no further discussion and carried unanimously, the Board is now in regular session.

Chairman Fisher then asked for a certification motion stating that nothing other than what was listed on the call under Sec 2.2.3711(A)(1) and Sec. 2.2.3711(A)(7) was

discussed during closed session and no action was taken. Upon motion by Mr. McCormack, second Mr. Ingram and carried unanimously, Mr. Ingram, Mr. Trivett, Mr. McCormack, Mr. Hynson, and the Chairman vote "aye". The Certification Motion is approved.

Chairman Fisher asked the Board if there was anything that needed action from the closed session. such as the new position presented by the Chief of Emergency Service and the trash contract.

Mr. Trivett recommended that the Board authorize the Chief of Emergency Services to hire a new part-time employee in Emergency Services. With no further discussion, upon motion by Mr. Trivett, second Mr. Ingram and carried unanimously, Mr. Ingram, Mr. Trivett, Mr. McCormack, Mr. Hynson, and the Chairman vote "aye". The Board gives the Chief of Emergency Services authority to hire a part-time employee for Emergency Services.

Mr. Trivett recommended that the Board adopt the new process that was stated earlier in the meeting, by the Interim County Administrator, regarding the Montross Transfer Station. With no further discussion, upon motion by Mr. Trivett, second Mr. Ingram and carried unanimously, Mr. Ingram, Mr. Trivett, Mr. McCormack, Mr. Hynson, and the Chairman vote "aye". The Board approves the new processes at the Montross Transfer Station, stating that all commercial trash haulers will prepare and submit an application to the County and pay \$250 annually per truck for a trash permit. In addition, all trash haulers will open up 3rd party accounts with Republic and be removed from the County account, unless approved by the Board to use the County account.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

The chairman opened the floor for public comment and asked if anyone would like to speak, to state their name for the record.

Richard Wilkins: He wanted to thank the Interim County Administrator, Donna Cogswell, for working on the issues at the transfer station. He stated that there was a lot of negativity on Facebook about being charged to dump by these commercial haulers but he believes it is a good idea and that the citizens should not be subsidizing these commercial haulers. The difference now is that commercial haulers will pay their fair share and citizens who don't have trash pickup won't be paying for it through their taxes. Mr. Wilkins also thanked the Board for voting in favor of the new procedure for the commercial trash haulers.

Let the record show that no one else came up to the podium to speak, and the Chairman closed the public comment period.

Chairman Fisher stated that the Board needs to pick a date to review the applications for the new position of County Administrator and recess the meeting again. The Board agreed that July 29th, 2024, at 5:30 p.m. was a suitable date and time for all board members to review applications.

6. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Fisher asked if there was anything else to come before the Board, if no, he asked for a motion. Upon motion by Mr. Hynson, second Mr. McCormack and carried unanimously the Board will recess at 8:37 p.m. and the meeting is in recess until July 29th, 2024, at 5:30 p.m.

Taker

Chairman, ____